



Attorney Docket No.: CDST-C169.CIP

3644

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

I hereby certify that this transmittal of the below described document is being deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope bearing First Class Postage and addressed to the Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date of deposit.

Date of Deposit:	11/3/03	Name of Person Making the Deposit:	Julie Williams	Signature of the Person Making the Deposit:
------------------	---------	------------------------------------	----------------	---

In re Application of: George B. Hopple, Roger W. Barton, John D. Porter, Theodore S. Fahlen and
Bob L. Mackey

Serial No.: 09/993,740 Examiner: Nguyen, T.

Filed: 11/21/01 Art Unit: 3644

For: METHOD OF PATTERNING WALL PHOSPHOR WELL MATRIX UTILIZING GLASS

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION/ELECTION REQUIREMENT

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

Transmitted herewith is a response to an office action/Restriction Requirement for the above identified patent application.

(7 sheets)

Transmitted herewith are sheets of substitute formal drawings

Other:

2. Applicant is other than a small entity

Extension of Term

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.

(a) [] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136
(fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:)

<u>Extension</u>	<u>Fee</u>
[] one month	\$110.00
[] two months	\$410.00
[] three months	\$930.00
[] four months	\$1,450.00

Fee \$

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(b) [X] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

RECEIVED
NOV 17 2003
GROUP 3600

Fee Calculation

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(for other than a small entity)					
Fee Items	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number of Claims Previously Paid For	Present Extra Claims	Fee Rate	Total
Total Claims	14	- 57 =	0	x \$18.00	\$0.00
Independent Claims	1	- 5 =	0	x \$84.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claim Fee (one or more, first added by this amendment)				\$260.00	\$0.00
Total Fees					\$0.00

PAYMENT OF FEES

5. The full fee due in connection with this communication is provided as follows:

[] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed.

[] A check in the amount of \$0.00

[] Charge any fees required or credit any overpayments associated with this filing to Deposit Account No.: 23-0085.

Please direct all correspondence concerning the above-identified application to the following address:

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP
 Two North Market Street, Third Floor
 San Jose, California 95113
 (408) 938-9060

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1/23/02

By: John P. Wagner, Jr.
 Reg. No. 35,398



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Hopple et al.

Serial No.: 09/993,740

Filed: November 21, 2001

For: METHOD OF PATTERNING
WALL PHOSPHOR WELL
MATRIX UTILIZING GLASS

Examiner: Nguyen, T.

Art Unit: 3644

RECEIVED

NOV 17 2003

GROUP 3600

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Dear Sir:

In the Office Action mailed October 03, 2003, the Examiner states that the present Application contains two inventions which are unrelated. As such, the Examiner is requiring the Applicant to elect a single invention for examination. Specifically, the Examiner is requiring the Applicant to elect between a first invention, Group I, recited in Claims 1-53, drawn to a method of fabricating a support structure, classified in Class 29, subclass 897.2, and a second invention, Group II, recited in Claims 54-57, drawn to a method of sandblasting, classified in Class 451.

CDST-C169.CIP
Examiner: Nguyen, T.

1

Serial No.: 09/993,740
Group Art Unit: 3644

ELECTION WITHOUT TRAVERSE BETWEEN
GROUP I AND GROUP II

Applicant elects without traverse Group I, recited in Claims 1-53, drawn to a method of fabricating a support structure, classified in Class 29, subclass 897.2.

The Examiner has further stated that the elected group I contains three patentably distinct species. As such, the Examiner is requiring the Applicant to elect a single species for examination. Specifically, the Examiner is requiring the Applicant to elect between Species I, a method of fabricating a support structure as described in lines 18-23 of page 6 of the specification and as directed to Claims 1-16 and 45-53, Species II, a method of fabricating a support structure as described in lines 23-25 of page 6 of the specification and as directed to Claims 17-30, and Species III, a method of fabricating a support structure as described in line 25 of page 6 and lines 1-2 of page 7 of the specification and as directed to Claims 31-44.

ELECTION WITHOUT TRAVERSE BETWEEN
SPECIES I, SPECIES II, AND SPECIES III

Applicant elects without traverse Species II, a method of fabricating a support structure as described in lines 23-25 of page 6 of the specification and as directed to Claims 17-30.